

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/996,367

Applicant(s)
Ahlgren et al.

Examiner
D. Lawrence Tarazano

Group Art Unit
1773

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) D. Lawrence Tarazano

(3) Rupert Hurley

#13

(2) Blaine Childress

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 10, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Art of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


The applicants' representatives stated that the materials taught by Ralph do not have good impact strength. This is related may be related to the use of ethylene / butene materials. The applicants' representative stated that Ralph used EXACT having a density of 0.900 g/cc so as to produce films having a low seal initiation temperature; the use of higher densities would not give this result. We also discussed the methods used to produce the films and how this relates to melt strength. The applicants' representatives stated that the use of the linear homogeneous materials is not merely a simple substitution of one material for another as alleged by the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


D. LAWRENCE TARAZANO
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1773

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.